

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/531,884	HAIGH ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Karen Cheng	1626	

**All Participants:**

(1) Karen Cheng.

(2) Karen Prus.

**Status of Application:** pending

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 16 July 2007

**Time:** 1:00 PM

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☐ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

**Rejection(s) discussed:**  
 112.1

**Claims discussed:**  
 1 and 14


**Prior art documents discussed:**

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**  
*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Claim 1 contained proviso that is no longer applicable to subject matter. Applicant agreed to delete out provisos as they were no longer necessary. Claim 14 was directed towards a method of prevention, which was not supported by the prior art. Applicant agreed to amend the claim so it is directed towards a method of treatment only. Claim 22 is directed to a compound of Formula (I) which should be Formula (Ia). This change will be made by Examiner's Amendment